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Abstract - In this paper, we present a new audio classification system. First, a frame-based multiclass support vector machine (SVM) for audio classification is proposed. The accuracy rate has significant improvements over conventional file-based SVM audio classifier. In feature selection, this study transforms the log powers of the critical-band filters based on independent component analysis (ICA). This new audio feature is combined with linear prediction coefficients (LPC) – derived cepstrum coefficients (LPCC), Mel Frequency Cepstral coefficients (MFCCs) perceptual features to form an audio feature set. The superiority of the proposed system has been demonstrated via a 6-class sound database with a 91.7% accuracy rate.

Index Terms – support vector machine (SVM), linear prediction coefficients (LPC), Mel Frequency Cepstral coefficients (MFCCs), independent component analysis (ICA).

I. INTRODUCTION
Audio classification, especially the speech or music discrimination, has been becoming a focus in the research of audio processing and pattern recognition. It can be used in a lot of areas and applications, such as audio segmentation, audio indexing and retrieval, automatic speech recognition and etc. Audio is usually treated as an opaque collection of bytes with only the most primitive fields attached such as file name, file format, sampling rates, etc. Users accustomed to searching, scanning, and retrieving text data may be frustrated by the inability to look inside the audio objects. Generally speaking, audio classification is a pattern classification problem. Firstly, the needed features are extracted from the audio signals. Then audio snippets are classified using some learning algorithms. The efficacy of an audio classification or categorization system depends on the ability to capture proper audio features and to accurately classify each feature set corresponding to its own class.

A closely related area, research on audio classification is relatively new. Wold et al. [4] presented an audio retrieval system named Music Fish based on audio classification. This work is a milestone about audio retrieval because of the content based analysis which distinguishes it from previous works. In this system, pitch, harmonicity, loudness, brightness and bandwidth were used as the audio features. The nearest neighbor (NN) rule was adopted to classify the query audio into one of the defined audio classes. Foote [1] proposed the use of mel-frequency cepstral coefficients (MFCCs) plus energy as audio features. The classification procedure was also done by the NN rule. Pfeiffer et al. [2] adopted a filter bank consisting of 256 phase-compensated gamma phone filters proposed to extract audio features. More recently, Li [3] concatenated the perceptual and cepstral feature sets for audio classification. A new classifier name nearest feature line (NFL) for audio classification was also presented and produced better results than the NN-based and other conventional methods. This study was improved by the author’s later work [5]. With the same feature set as [3], Guo and Li used support vector machines (SVMs) with a binary tree structure to tackle the audio classification problem. Experimental results showed that the SVM approach with perceptual and cepstral feature sets achieved lower error rate than Music Fish system and NFL approach. This SVM classifier was also adopted by Lin et al. [6] for audio classification. With similar feature set as that of [5], Lin et al. applied wavelet to extract subband power and pitch information. Also, the MFCCs are replaced by frequency cepstral coefficients.

Figure 1. Block diagram of the proposed audio classification system.

In the works of [4-6], the means and standard deviations of all individual features in an audio file were computed over the nonsilent frames to form a feature vector of an audio file. In this paper, we present a frame-based audio feature set and a frame-based multiclass SVM for audio classification. The block diagram of the proposed system is depicted in Fig. 1. In accordance with our experiments, the presented In the works of [4-6], the means and standard deviations of all individual features in an audio file were computed over the nonsilent frames to form a feature vector of an audio file. In this paper, we present a
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frame-based audio feature set and a frame-based multiclass SVM for audio classification. The block diagram of the proposed system is depicted in Fig. 1. In accordance with our experiments, the presented frame-based strategy significantly outperforms the file-based approaches adopted by [5], [6]. In the feature selection, besides total spectrum power, subband powers, brightness, bandwidth, pitch and MFCCs, a new audio feature based on independent component analysis (ICA) is also presented. For computing MFCCs, the log powers of the critical-band filters are obtained first. Discrete cosine transform (DCT) is then applied to the log powers to remove some of their correlations. Instead of DCT, ICA transform is applied to the log powers to generate a new audio feature, mel-frequency ICA-based feature. The prominence of the proposed audio feature is the theoretical maximization of the statistical independence among all the critical-band log powers.

II. FEATURE EXTRACTION

2.1 Linear Prediction Coefficients

The theory of linear prediction (LP) is closely linked to modelling of the vocal tract system, and relies upon the fact that a particular speech samples may be predicted by a linear weighted sum of the previous samples. The number of previous samples used for prediction is known as the order of predictions. The weights applied to each of the previous speech samples are known as linear prediction coefficients (LPC). They are calculated so as to minimize the prediction error.

2.2 Linear Prediction Cepstral Coefficients

In many applications, Euclidean distance is used as a measure of similarity or dissimilarity between feature vectors. The sharp peaks of the LP spectrum may produce large errors in a similarity test, even for a slight shift in the position of the peaks. Hence, linear prediction coefficients are converted in to cepstral coefficients using a recursive relation. Cepstral coefficients represent the log magnitude spectrum, and the first few coefficients model the smooth envelope of the log spectrum [1]. These coefficients can be obtained either from linear prediction coefficients or from the inverse discrete fourier transform (IDFT) of log magnitude spectrum of the speech signal. In both cases, the process results in estimating vocal tract system characteristics from the speech signal [3]. Atal explored the LP derived cepstral coefficients, and proved their effectiveness over LPC and other features such as pitch and energy contours.

2.3 LPC Processor for Speech Signal Classification

The fig.3.1 shows the block diagram of LPC processor. The basic steps in the processing include the following:

2.2.1. Preemphasis

The digitized speech signal, s(n), is put through a low order digital system (typically a first order FIR filter), to spectrally flatten the signal and to make it less susceptible to finite precision effects later in the signal processing. The digital system used in the preemphasizer is either fixed or slowly adaptive (eg to average transmission condition, noise background, or even to average signal spectral). Perhaps most widely used emphasis network is the fixed first order system.

\[ H(z) = 1 - a z^{-1}, \quad 0.9 \leq a \leq 1.0 \quad (3.1) \]

In this case, the output of the pre-emphasis network, \( \tilde{s}(n) \), is related to the input network \( s(n) \), by the difference equation.

\[ \tilde{s}(n) = s(n) - \tilde{a}s(n-1) \quad (3.2) \]

The most common value for \( \tilde{a} \) is around 0.95 (for fixed-point implementations a value of \( \tilde{a} = 0.95 \) is used). A simple example of a first order adaptive preemphasizer is the transfer function.

\[ H(z) = 1 - \tilde{a} z^{-1} \quad (3.3) \]

Where \( \tilde{a} \) changes with time(n) according to the chosen adaption criterion. One possibility is to choose \( \tilde{a} = \frac{r(1)}{r_e(0)} \). The magnitude characteristic of \( H(e^{j\omega}) \) is

\[ |H(e^{j\omega})| \]

For the value \( \tilde{a} = 0.95 \). It can be seen that at \( \omega = \pi \) (half sampling rate) there is a 32 dB boost in the magnitude over that at \( \omega = 0 \).

2.2.2. Frame Blocking

In this step that preemphasized speech signal, \( \tilde{s}(n) \), is blocked into frames of N samples, with adjacent frames being separated by M samples. Fig.3.1 illustrates the blocking into frames for the case in which M = (1/3)N. The first illustrated frame consists of the first N speech samples.
The second frame begins M samples after the first frame, and overlaps it by N-M samples. Similarly the third frame begins 2M samples after the first (or M samples after the second frame) and overlaps it by N-2M samples. This process continues until all the speech is accounted for within one or more frames. It’s easy to see that if M = N, then adjacent frames overlap, and the resulting LPC spectral estimates will be correlated from frame to frame. If M << N then LPC spectral estimates from frame to frame quite smooth. On the other hand if M > N. there will be no overlap between adjacent frames. In fact some of the speech signal will be totally lost (i.e., never appear in any analysis frame) and the correlation between the resulting LPC spectral estimates of adjacent frames will contain a noisy component whose magnitude increases as M increases. This situation intolerable in any practical LPC analysis for speech recognition .if we denote the

$$\sigma^2 = \ln \alpha^2$$

Where the highest autocorrelation value p, is the order of the LPC analysis. Typically values of p from 8 to 16 have been used, with p=8 being the value used for most systems to be described in the book. A side benefit of the autocorrelation analysis is that the 0th autocorrelation, R(0), is the energy of the 1st frame. The frame energy is an important parameter for speech-detection systems.

2.2.5. LPC Analysis

The next processing step is the LPC analysis, which converts each frame of p+1 autocorrelation into an “LPC parameter set” in which the set might be the LPC coefficients, the reflection (or PARCOR) coefficients, the log area ration coefficients, the cepstral coefficients, or any desired transformation of the above sets. The formal method for converting from autocorrelation coefficients to an LPC Parameter set (for the LPC autocorrelation method) is known as Durbin’s method and can formally be given as the following algorithm (for convenience, we will omit the subscript l on r(l)):

$$E^{(0)} = r(0)$$
$$k_l = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} r_l - \sum_{j=1}^{l} \alpha_j r_{l-j} \end{array} \right\} / E^{(l-1)}$$
$$\alpha_j = k_j$$
$$\alpha_j = \alpha_j - k_j \alpha_{j-1}$$
$$E^{(l)} = (1 - k_l^2) E^{(l-1)}$$

Where the summation in Eq. (12) is the omitted for i=1, the set of equations (3.11 - 3.15) are solved recursively for i=1,2,…..,p and the final solutions is given as

$$a_m = LPC coefficients = a_m^{(p)}, \quad 1 \leq m \leq p$$
$$k_m = PARCOR coefficients$$
$$g_m = log area ratio coefficients = \log \left(1 - \frac{k_m}{1 + g_m} \right)$$

2.2.6. LPC Parameter Conversion to Cepstral Coefficients

A very important LPC parameter set, which can be derived directly from the LPC coefficients set, is the LPC cepstral coefficients, c(m). The Recursive relation between LP coefficients and LPC coefficients is given by

$$c_o = \ln \sigma^2$$
$$c_m = \alpha_m + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \frac{k}{m} c_{k} a_{m-k}, \quad 1 \leq m \leq p$$
$$c_m = \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \frac{k}{m} c_{k} a_{m-k}, \quad m > p$$

Where $\sigma^2$ is the gain term in the LPC model. The cepstral coefficients, which are the coefficients of the Fourier transform representation of the log magnitude spectrum, have been shown to be a more robust, reliable feature set for speech recognition than the LPC coefficients, the PARCOR coefficients, or the log area ratio coefficients. Generally, a cepstral representation with $q > p$ coefficients is used, where
2.3 Mel Frequency Cepstral Coefficients

MFCCs have been widely used in the field of speech recognition and are able to represent the dynamic features of a signal as they extract both linear and non-linear properties. MFCC can be a useful tool of feature extraction in vibration signals as vibrations contain both linear and non-linear features. The Mel-frequency Cepstral Coefficients (MFCC) is a type of wavelet in which frequency scales are placed on a linear scale for frequencies less than 1 kHz and on a log scale for frequencies above 1 kHz. The complex cepstral coefficients obtained from this scale are called the MFCC. The MFCC contain both time and frequency information of the signal and this makes them useful for feature extraction.

### III. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

#### 3.1 Summary of the Work

In this project, we have taken four different categories of audio signals namely news, advertisement, sports and music. We have extracted the LPC, LPCC & MFCC features for these signals and are given as input to SVM. The SVM is used as a modelling technique in this work for audio classification. The SVM in multi-class mode is used for audio classification. The experimental results shown that the method achieves an accuracy of about 94%.

#### III. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>LPC</th>
<th>LPCC</th>
<th>MFCC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Advt.</td>
<td>42.50</td>
<td>82.50</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cartoon</td>
<td>30.00</td>
<td>47.50</td>
<td>48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Movie</td>
<td>37.50</td>
<td>55.00</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>News</td>
<td>65.00</td>
<td>95.00</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Songs</td>
<td>80.00</td>
<td>47.50</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sports</td>
<td>82.50</td>
<td>90.00</td>
<td>58%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### IV. CONCLUSION

This study has presented an audio classification system based on frame-based multiclass SVM. Compared with conventional file-based SVM classifier, the proposed audio classifier has considerable improvement averagely 13.9%. This study also presents a new feature set including LPC, LPCC, MFCCs ICA-based feature, MFCCs and perceptual features. With the proposed audio classifier and feature set, the accuracy rate can achieve 91.7%. Future works include the expansion of audio classes and the study of audio segmentation which is a preprocess for audio classification in many related applications.
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